



**ADDENDUM #02
RFP NUMBER – R22-018 AD
04/06/2022**

NAME OF PROJECT: 222 East Pikes Peak Avenue Professional Services

PROPOSAL DUE DATE 04/14/2022 AT 5:00 PM

This document shall become as fully a part of the above named RFP and Contract Documents as if included and shall take full and complete precedence over anything stated or shown to the contrary in them.

Acknowledgment: Each Offeror shall indicate in the place provided acknowledgment of receipt of this Addendum.

Each and every Offeror, subcontractor, and material supplier shall be responsible for reading each and every item in this Addendum to ascertain the extent and manner it affects the work in which he is interested.

*****CHANGES TO THE PUBLICATION NOTICE*****

The following item and information are corrections and additions to the above referenced project.

1. Attachment A: Prompt Payment to Subcontractors. This is an additional Federal Transit Administration certificate. Please complete this certificate in addition to the ones included in Exhibit 8 of the RFP
2. Attachment B: Pre-Proposal Conference Slide Deck
3. Attachment C: Pre-Proposal Conference Sign in Sheet
4. Vendor Questions See Below

Offeror shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum by signing below, and this addendum must be returned as part of the proposal.

Signature

Date

Firm

VENDOR QUESTIONS:

1. What is the correct order of sub categories for the Expertise and Qualifications section? On page 13-14 it is listed as:
 - a. Relevant Experience
 - b. Key Personnel
 - c. Understanding and Project Approach

But in the evaluation score sheet in exhibit 7, it is listed as:

- a. Understanding and Project Approach
- b. Relevant Experience
- c. Key Personnel

Please Refer to breakdown provided in Exhibit 7 for reference on the criteria that will be used for evaluating proposals.

2. Is the rate sheet requested in addendum 01 referring to what should be included in the Price Area portion of our proposal?
MMT is only looking for a classification and rate sheet
3. Am I correct in assuming that the Price Area section does not count against our page count, as it is not being scored?
Correct, this does not count towards your page count
4. On page 63, you mention that the prime consultant can show up to five projects. You also mention that we can show a maximum of two-three additional representative projects from secondary consultants. Does this mean we can show a total of eight projects (five from the prime (three being built) and two-three from consultants)?
Yes, we want to see a minimum of three and up to a maximum of five representative projects from the prime consultant and up to three additional representative projects from the secondary consultant. A proposal needs to have a minimum of eight representative projects (combination of prime and secondary)
5. Would you consider removing the specification of Times New Roman font and allow for any professional font type to be used to reduce the burden of reformatting materials?
Using another font besides Time New Roman is acceptable.
6. Would you consider removing the margin requirement and allow for a reasonably spaced page layout to reduce the burden of reformatting materials?
Adjusting the margins on your proposal is acceptable.
7. The Project limitations in Exhibit 6 – Qualifications Statement (3 similar local or state-wide projects from the last 5 years, and 3 similar local or state-wide projects that are ongoing) do not match up with the project limitations mentioned in the RFP and on the pr-proposal conference (3-5 representative projects. One must be P3.)

Would you consider removing the time and location limitations from exhibit 6 to allow us to show projects that are the most relevant to the requirements of the Statement of Work of the RFP?

Projects provided as part of the response to Exhibit 6 may be different than what is provided in response to Exhibit 5: Scope of Work – Proposer Requirements. However, if the proposer would like to utilize the same projects to respond to Exhibit 6, the time and location requirements of Exhibit may be waived.

8. Many firms are still working from home and have limited access to a notary. Would you consider waiving this requirement from Exhibit 8 – Federal Transit Administration Clauses and Certifications with the understanding that this form will be notarized if the project is awarded?
The Federal Transit Administration Clauses and Certifications must be notarized where notated.
9. Can you please define what you are looking for when you say, “assessment of financial stability.” As mentioned on page 13 (2.4 – Organizational Background and Overview)
MMT is looking for two years of financial statements. These will only be required if your firm is the apparent awardee.
10. Exhibit 1 states, “Provide (1) copy of current financial statements (if required)” Is this required, and if so, how would you like it submitted?
MMT will only require financial statements if your firm is the apparent awardee.
11. The RFP mentions the existing historic auditorium. Is the intent that the auditorium will remain in place and operational throughout construction and operation of the new facility?
Yes, however it is currently closed to the public and is being evaluated for repurposing. See www.communityculturalcollective.org
12. Does the auditorium host music or speaking events that could be disrupted by new noise from the transportation facility? Is it possible to measure the acoustic conditions within the auditorium to benchmark existing background noise?
City Auditorium is currently closed to the public and is being evaluated for repurposing. See www.communityculturalcollective.org If noise during construction is a concern, it can be measured prior to construction commencing and monitored during construction.
13. Is it possible to measure noise from similar buses at the Metro Transit Center at 127 E Kiowa St., across the street from the new site?
Yes, it is possible to measure noise levels of the existing transit facility at 127 E Kiowa.