

Land Exchange "Listening Discussion" Meeting 3/14/16

Citizen Comment Card Results

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	What is your overall view of the proposal?	Did the information provided address your concerns?	What else should the City and the Broadmoor consider in this proposal?	Name	Zip Code	Email Address	
2	Somewhat Unsupportive	Yes, but now I have more!:))	Why does the Broadmoor have to take the most beautiful viewpoint for their stables? Could they put the stables further west? The Chamberlain is proposed. How would the public be allowed to cut through near the zoo and shrine? It seems that proposed trail easement will never be granted.	Tina Troyer	80905	tinatroyer@hotmail.com	
3	Neutral	No, I really expected to see a "semi" scale depiction of the Strawberry Fields are with the proposed riding stable and proposed trails AND a "picture" of sorts on what all this would look like. Horse trails - will they have public access or just the Chamberlain trail, etc.		Dub Wilttrout	80906	dubwtrout@yahoo.com	
4	Unsupportive	I want to keep Strawberry Fields for the public. Yes, I have to agree with Richard Skorman. I feel strongly about leaving Strawberry Fields alone.	Slow the proposal down!	Cindy Walsh	<u>80906</u>	cyntwalsh@gmail.com	
5	Unsupportive	Heard many concerns - I would like to hear TOPS can put money into care and maintenance of this park area instead of sell out to a private corporation that charges fees to public.	The parking along Strawberry Fields has been reduced in recent years. What will be offered once the Broadmoor takes over? How about a trail head accessing easy hiking at base?	Marilyn Petrenas	80906	jhp112@q.com	
6	Neutral	Yes		J. Wilttrout	80906	dubwtrout@yahoo.com	
7	Very Supportive	Yes	Get on with it - fire season approaches	John Murphy	80906	jpmurphy@aol.com	
8	Unsupportive	Raised concerns!!! Showed the need to slow down and put to a public vote, since this is the public's land!!!	Public Vote!!!	Valerie Wollen	80909	buwollen@comcast.net	
9	Unsupportive	No, We need many more details on assessments, what kind of environmental impact has been done?	Use TOPS funds to get the easements	Susan Scholl	80906	susan.schnee@gmail.com	
10	Unsupportive	Why do this in less than month, when so many questions need to be answered. It was stated City is currently maintaining the land it is acquiring, why?	There needs to be complete transparency of how the land will be used.	Jack Rocks	80906	jack.rocks@comcast.net	

Land Exchange "Listening Discussion" Meeting 3/14/16

Citizen Comment Card Results

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
11	Unsupportive	What about environmental impact -noise, light pollution, affects on wildlife	Both need to listen to desires of the citizens majority are saying NO	Melissa Johnson	80918		
12	Very Unsupportive, very BAD idea.	No. Appraisal of property - very suspect.	Broadmoor Hotel's encroachment on and interference in the surrounding neighborhoods.	Steve Price	80906	spriceatty@earthlink.net	
13	Somewhat Supportive	No. We need much more specific details, including appraisals. Need to take more time for the process. The process should allow more time to make a decision.	Consider putting up the proposal for a vote of the citizens	Barry Johnson-Fay	80904	barryjf@q.com	
14	Unsupportive	Lots of unanswered questions - why the big rush? My concern for the existing stable - this stable will hurt them. Don't want to see a stable in the meadow - will be wrecked by horses/ponies.	City: Consider dedicating funds to improving Strawberry Fields. Broadmoor: Don't subtly threaten to withdraw access to Incline and Barr Trail	Ellen Johnson-Fay	80904	ellenjf@q.com	
15	Unsupportive		It's public land and should be preserved.				
16	Unsupportive	Yes. Why has Cheyenne Canon Master Plan not been done as part of this process? It's long overdue.	Take to vote of the people in 2017.	Lynn Houle	80906	lhouleco@aol.com	
17	Unsupportive	What about a sale? That was never addressed.	Do some more research; more input	Sharron Toulouse	80904	sharrontoulouse@gmail.com	
18	Unsupportive	Yes		Mary Kettle	80906	Mandbkettle@msn.com	
19	Unsupportive	Yes		Robert W. Kettle	80906	Mandbkettle@msn.com	
20	Very Unsupportive	No. Broadmoor has not divulged its "master plan". No idea about the true plans for the property. This deal is being rushed through.	Why can't the Broadmoor lease the land? Why can't the Broadmoor use the land it already owns for stables? Why can't the Broadmoor partner with Old Stage?	Gina Byrd	80906	ginarbailey@hotmail.com	
21	Unsupportive	1) Our public land is not for sale 2) Cheyenne Canon, the natural environment and wildlife will be lost forever. Not just Strawberry Field, but all of Cheyenne Canon will be impacted! 3) The best steward of natural property is NATURE	Abandon the idea. Put the horseback riding on the Mt.. Muscoco property!	Lily Frasch	80906	beachbumlily@gmail.com	

Land Exchange "Listening Discussion" Meeting 3/14/16

Citizen Comment Card Results

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
22	Unsupportive	Yes. I don't think this land should be for sale. It doesn't matter if it's the Broadmoor or Coors, this is public land and should be voted on.	More time is needed. And why is the City giving away land it already has				
23	Unsupportive	No. Needed more information from the Broadmoor. This is my neighborhood.	Traffic! Trash! Destroying pristine meadow.	Lynn Gilbert	80906	lynnicolo@gmail.com	
24	Very Supportive	Yes		Johnny Walker	80926	Johnny@norrispenrose.com	
25	Unsupportive			Christy Kaczmarek	80907	Christykaczmarek@hotmail.com	
26	Unsupportive	No. No commercialism of Cheyenne Canon!	The Broadmoor needs to peacefully and respectfully bow out of this deal. No "boutique pony rides" over bears and mountain lions!	Mac Marland Winemiller	80909	office@deveopmental_pediaticco.com	
27		No. Not enough details of land use after swap.		Steve Kaczmarek	80906	Steve@fatbike.com	
28	Unsupportive	No. Does not cover sale of hotel and transferring the care.	A complete layout of every piece of property - use lease	Sally G.	80906		
29	Unsupportive	Yes. There needs to be more time to make the decision.		Keith Brown	80918	keith12brown@msn.com	
30	Unsupportive	No. Broadmoor's promises not specific enough - No guarantees they will follow through.	The long-term strategic future of Colorado Springs particularly ease of public access to the mountains.	J. Miller Adam	80905	lifionmars1@mac.com	
31	Unsupportive	No. Most of the land the Broadmoor is proposing swapping to the City is "mountain goat" land. This is not usable to many of CS citizens unless they are super fit. The land the City gets is dribs and drabs that is commercially useless to the Broadmoor (for the most part). We are giving up prime recreating land accessible to people of most physical abilities.	Let the citizens vote on this proposal. If it fails, would the Broadmoor be willing to sell part of the proposed swap land? -i.e. Barr Trail/Incline portion.	Judy Schulman	80906	boatgirl@gmail.com	
32	Unsupportive			Alan Schulman	80906		
33	Very Supportive			Kyle Mol	80906	Kyle -----not legible	
34	Very Supportive				80906		
35	Unsupportive	No	Stop	Joleen Thompson	80906		
36	Very Supportive	Yes		Jim Davies	80906	quibuski@yahoo.com	

Land Exchange "Listening Discussion" Meeting 3/14/16

Citizen Comment Card Results

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
37	Unsupportive	I have been to all of the meetings. The Broadmoor has not put forth their plan. "Curious". Don't you think only their intent. Not convinced PK and deeds can't be changed.	TOPS purchase	Lynne Otto	80906	lynneot@gmail.com	
38	Unsupportive	No	Get better land. Don't let the Broadmoor strong arm the City	Kaylee F.	80906	kzickfoose7@gmail.com	
39	Unsupportive		Other land somewhere else	David Ehse	80906		
40	Vehemently Unsupportive	The City and Broadmoor dog and pony show is pathetic	Everything!	John C. Spengler	80906	spengmeister@gmail.com	
41	Unsupportive	No. Jack Damioli state that the Strawberry Fields meadow would, under his plan, be used for pony rides. There are few more environmentally degrading activities than pony rides - erosive, too.	Is the Broadmoor actually willing to close or sell Barr Trails and the Incline if the deal does not go through? Jack Damioli mentioned Emerald Valley and Seven Falls as properties the Broadmoor has improved. Since both are limited to the very wealthy, I don't think they actually benefit the citizens of Colorado Springs. He should not be allowed to use these as examples of the Broadmoor's environmental stewardship.	Eva Syrovoy	80904	evasyrov@msn.com	
42	Unsupportive	No. It spent too much time repeating the proposal. The concept cannot be picked apart when giving away park land should not even be an option. Our leaders need to protect our natural resources for the citizens. Too much time defending Broadmoor as effective land protectors. The issue is this land should not be traded. It is where it belongs with the Colorado Springs citizens. Parks and City government - Citizens want you to protect and care for it.		Joan Hance	80906	kelseyroy1@gmail.com	
43	Unsupportive		Do not trade valuable Strawberry Fields - Retain City ownership	Bill Stookey	80906	BillStookey70@gmail.com	
44	Unsupportive	Yes. It validated this is a bogus proposal. Public land is not for SWAP (sale) ever. It is the City's job to figure out how to be better stewards of public land.		Debbie Stansh	80909	debstansh@msn.com	

Land Exchange "Listening Discussion" Meeting 3/14/16

Citizen Comment Card Results

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
45	Very Supportive	Yes. I am appalled at the rude behavior of attendees toward those in favor of this plan!! I thank Jack Damioli for his extreme professional politeness. I completely support this plan and know that the Broadmoor will be the best stewards of this land. Karen Palus - stay strong!		Claudette Mayer	80906	cflmayer@msn.com	
46	Somewhat Unsupportive	No	Written permanent access is still not pledged.	Brien Hamrick	80906		
47	Extremely Unsupportive	No. Not enough time for audience input and repeat citizens who have already spoken should not have been given the floor.	Shuttle traffic disturbs neighborhood - will be worse with events. NOISE pollution!	Elizabeth Feinsod	80906	goldcsau@aol.com	
48			Create an actual visual rendering for the proposed site of the pavilion and riding stables.	Daniel Schnee	80906	danielschnee@gmail.com	
49	Unsupportive	No. I live near Strawberry Fields. I am extremely concerned about the proposed stables. I do not like flies or the smell of horses. The valuation of the land being exchanged is not accurate as much of the land is inaccessible. The trade value would be more accurate if the Broadmoor were to finance trails and access to the lands proposed in the swap.		Ann Pinney	80907	ann@annpinney.com	
50	Unsupportive	Yes		David Knize	80906	dknizemd@gmail.com	
51	Unsupportive			Becky Wegner	80905		