MEETING AGENDA
CITIZENS' TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY BOARD
Meeting Date: Tuesday February 7, 2017 at 2:30 PM
Location: Transit Administration, 1015 Transit Dr. Large Conference Room

I. Call to Order/Establish Quorum/Introductions
   Brian Risley

II. Citizen Comment
   Audience

III. Approval of Minutes – January 3, 2017 Meeting Minutes
    Action: Recommendation
    Brian Risley

IV. Consent Items (review/discuss if called off consent)
    Brian Risley
    A. Public Works Dashboard
    B. Transit Report
    C. PPRTA CAC Monthly Report
    D. ATAC Report
    E. Airport Advisory Commission Report (none)

V. New Business
   Kate Brady
   A. Downtown Bike Projects
      Action: Presentation
   B. PPACG 2040 Long Range Transportation Goals/Survey
      Action: Presentation

VI. Old Business
    None

VII. Staff and Board Members Communications
     Brian Risley

VIII. Next Meeting Schedule and Topics
     Brian Risley

IX. Adjournment
    Brian Risley

Definitions:
Presentation – the act of presenting information with Board discussion/clarification following, no formal decisions are to be made.
Briefing – a short summary of information with no discussion, but the Board may ask for clarifications on specific issues.
Recommendation – the formal action by the Board for recommendation/rejection/other action of a proposal.
Discussion – the act of discussing/considering a topic by the Board, but no formal decisions are to be made.
I. CALL TO ORDER/ESTABLISH QUORUM: Meeting was called to order at 2:45 p.m.

Members Present: Tony Gioia, June Waller, Kyle Blakely, Scott Barnhart, Tamara Dipner, Rose Marie Lyda

Staff Present: Kathleen Krager, Traffic Engineering; Tim Roberts, Traffic Engineering; Brian Vitulli, Transit; Kelli Patrick, Public Works; Kate Brady, Traffic Engineering; Ryan Phipps, City Engineering

Others Present: Jennifer Valentine, Susan Davies, Carlos Perez, Andrew VanDerWege, Cory Sutela, Dr. Steven Marsh

Introductions were made.

II. CITIZEN COMMENT:

- Susan Davies mentioned the information on the website regarding CTAB meetings is not current. She went on to explain the meeting time is still showing 1:30 p.m.
- She further mentioned ATAC, and as they work for CTAB it would be very helpful if a representative from CTAB would be at the ATAC meetings.
- Additional during ATAC’s ethics training it became clear that ATAC is supposed to take direction from CTAB.
- Andrew VanderWege seconded Susan Davies’ comment regarding the website. He stated it’s his expectation that CTAB utilize the tools they have for meeting times, agendas, etc., and by this time next month the website be updated.
- Kathleen Krager advised updates go through I.T. and Communications, so they will make sure the information is sent to them, but it will be up to the other departments to get it updated on the website.
- Tony Gioia and Tim Roberts also mentioned deadlines for CTAB are later than other Boards, as CTAB is an advisory committee.
- Andrew VanderWege brought up using email as a form of communication is not desirable for citizens. A group that opposed the Research project used an email that was sent out to people who had attended ATAC meetings, and sent an email to all that were on the distribution.
- Kathleen Krager will discuss this with Legal.
III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

- Mr. Barnhart motions to approve the minutes, Mr. Blakely seconds; motion passes unanimously.

IV. CONSENT ITEMS
A. Public Works Dashboard
B. Transit Report
C. PPRTA CAC Monthly Report
D. ATAC Report
E. Airport Advisory Commission Report (none)
   - There were no comments on any Consent Items

V. NEW BUSINESS
A. 2017 Transit Service Changes
   - Brian Vitulli advised this item was for information only.
   - He advised the Board of the proposed service changes.
   - Three public meetings are scheduled for this month.
   - He further advised most of these changes will be implemented this Fall.
   - There are three types of improvements; service increases, scheduling modification, and route modification.
   - Service increases will require more buses, which are due to be here in late Summer.
   - This Spring there will be two scheduling modifications, which will improve on time performance.
   - Route 6 is the route modification, which will move to Fillmore. In order to do that, pedestrian improvements will need to be done.
   - The earliest the route modification could be done is approximately two years.
   - Once public process is done this month, he will report back to CTAB in February.
   - There was a question regarding changes to Metro Mobility. Brian Vitulli stated that has been tabled until there is more data. There is a Fare Study scheduled for some time in 2017.
B. ATAC/AAC Representation
   - The Board discussed representatives for these Committees.
   - June Waller stated she volunteered for the Airport Committee but hasn’t received any information about it.
   - Tim Roberts stated Rick Hoover hadn’t been on the Committee, but had attended meetings. He will send her the meeting information and minutes.
   - She advised she is not able to open email attachments from Tim. Kathleen will have Bryan Curtis meet with June to help with this.
   - Horst Richardson had expressed interest in ATAC, but was not available for the December meeting.
   - Mr. Blakely motions Horst Richardson be appointed as the CTAB Representative to ATAC, Mr. Barnhart seconds; motion passed unanimously.
   - There was discussion regarding CTAB sending actions items for ATAC to address, and how to facilitate communication between the two.
C. 2017 Sidewalk Program

- Ryan Phipps gave a presentation of the 2017 Missing Sidewalk Program.
- He gave a brief background of the program.
- There are two PPRTA funds; Citywide Improvements and School and Neighborhood focus.
- Approximately 50,000 segments that were identified as missing in the city.
- The city was divided into four quadrants which matched the Ops and Maintenance quadrants where pre-overlay concrete was being done. Ryan would select the quadrant ahead of pre-overlay concrete for the Missing Sidewalk Program. However, with 2C, Ops and Maintenance now works in all four quadrants in a year. The Missing Sidewalk Program still works in one quadrant each year.
- The work is balanced based on the funding source. One third of the funding is from the School and Neighborhood fund and two thirds from the Pedestrian Improvements (Citywide) fund.
- He showed several examples of segments that are scheduled to have sidewalks built.
- There are a lower number of segments scheduled for 2017, but they are longer segments.
- Tamara Dipner asked about standards. Ryan Phipps explained the standards used.
- Susan Davies asked about citizen requests. Ryan Phipps explained how to notify the City of a request.
- Mr. Blakely motions recommendation of the 2017 Missing Sidewalk Program, Ms. Dipner seconds; motion passes unanimously.

VI. OLD BUSINESS

- None

VII. STAFF AND BOARD MEMBERS COMMUNICATIONS:

A. Research Parkway Demonstration Project Update

- Kathleen Krager advised Staff had taken a look at the first quarter data.
- Nothing meaningful was found regarding accidents. Colorado Springs is too small to compare three months of accident data. Accidents were consistent with years’ past.
- There was a reduction of overall vehicle speed in October, but by December the overall speed of vehicles had increased beyond what the speed was when the data was taken in July.
- Nothing was seen in the future about reaching the goal of traffic calming.
- The decision was made to stop the Research experiment.
- Things were learned, such as when people get used to accel/decel lanes, it’s best not to change them.
- There was discussion regarding accel/decel lanes.
- City guidelines changed in 2009 and accel/decel lanes are no longer an option on arterial streets.
- There were questions about the number of bicyclists.
- There is a fine balance between bicycling safety and motorists. This area will need to be revisited, and perhaps the Bicycle Master Plan will address this.
- There was discussion regarding other options for bicycle lanes in that area.
- The goal of the city is to have facilities so you could live in any part of the city and be able to bicycle to work or the store.
- There were questions whether bike lanes reduce maintenance costs of the roadway.
- There also were questions how to weigh the comments of drivers in the habit of using the road vs bicyclists who aren’t yet using the road.
- The number of bicyclists may have increased, but there was a lot of opposition.
- There will be bike lanes put in other parts of the city, and the Research area will be looked at again to see what needs to be done to provide adequate bicycling facilities.
- Kathleen identified roads within the Downtown master plan that connect to Shooks Run and the Greenway that will get bike lanes. The public involvement process will begin looking at Weber between Pikes Peak and Rio Grande, Pikes Peak from Colorado Avenue to Cascade, Cascade from Colorado or Costilla to Platte, and Rio Grande.
- The City has a process where every time a street is repaved, it is looked at for potential restriping.
- Research wasn’t the only right-sizing that was done last year.
- Discussion ensued about other downtown streets and whether bike lanes would work on them.

**Public Comments**

- **Carlos Perez** stated he lives in Briargate and had the opportunity to ride the bike lanes.
- He stated he wants to go on record that he’s disputing the conclusions from the report.
- He believes it’s inconclusive regarding whether speeds increased or decreased, as there were two different methodologies used.
- It’s like comparing apples to oranges, and there is not enough data and statements are not supported by the data.
- As a citizen can tell the report was prepared in haste.
- Very concerned that public outcry and people whipping themselves into a frenzy can change the outcome of what was supposed to be a safety project.
- He’s very disappointed there wasn’t an opportunity to collect more data and it’s a travesty that public outcry can change engineering.
- Believes Mayor ultimately made the right decision, sometimes you have to lose the battle to win the war.
- He feels he has a defacto highway in his backyard.
- Most of the accel lanes are at controlled intersections.
- Mentioned stop bar violations.
- What about the people who want to walk to where they want to go?
- Haven’t addressed the fundamental safety of Research Parkway.
- Make sure decisions are based on data and not on an angry mob.
- **Kathleen Krager** advised she shares his feelings about acceleration lanes.
- Will come back to the Briargate area and look at what kind of bike facilities could work.
- Have learned that when doing a demonstration project that may be controversial, there’s a need to use a consistent format of data collection. They had no idea this project was going to become the project that it became.
- **Carlos Perez** stated no one could have anticipated it, and appreciates all the work the City did.
He stated it doesn’t make sense that people would argue with trying to improve the safety, and the group had to whip themselves into a frenzy, and delude themselves that we are deliberately causing traffic congestion and pain for everybody. He’s hoping it doesn’t become a war on other projects in the City, and is alerting us to that fact.

Susan Davies asked if it could be shared who made the final decision, when will the infrastructure be removed, and how can the advocates be part of the decision making process earlier and more effectively.

Kathleen Krager stated we do have a strong mayor form of government, and as such every decision is from the Mayor, but as the Manager of Traffic Engineering she takes full responsibility for the Research project and its demise of the project.

She further advised the painting will take place when weather permits.

As far as advocacy, Engineering thought it was a good project and was caught by surprise by the emails that were coming in. ATAC was not strongly in favor of this project. We need to provide facilities all over the city, but when the time comes to look back at suburban neighborhoods she hopes the bike advocates can support the projects.

Tim Roberts mentioned this corridor is identified in the PPACG Non-Motorized Plan. After that study there were quarterly meetings were held and there were comments from bicycle advocates showed they liked Briargate. In addition Research Parkway is on the Streets overlay list. In the public outreach process there was some anti and some supportive, about 2-3 in support. In all the announcements to the HOA’s, media, etc., it was specifically mentioned that a travel lane was going to be taken away on Research Parkway, and there was no response.

Andrew VanDerWege the trouble he’s having is feels like he’s hearing two different things, that bicycle advocates were in some way waverings in their support, and there might be some truth in that, but they were willing to jump on board and support this. They hosted a ride with over 150 people.

He stated when he hears about future projects, they are several blocks in the downtown core. Are we truly working towards trying to create a citywide cycling infrastructure or not? These are a couple of block here and there, and still being called bicycling projects, which still ranks low on the list of priorities.

Truly progressive bicycle infrastructure is not being considered as far as he knows.

His concern is advocates did show up at meetings when public input was expected, but didn’t organize thousands of people to send 1400 emails and make 2-3 phone calls a day to the Mayor, so they are questioning their strategy. Does their strategy need to match that level, because they feel that’s not the best way forward. But a group that started out as kind of a NIMBY group, has now decided (through a win handed to them by the City) that this is a cause that they are interested in moving forward, so not in their backyard in the past will turn into my backyard in the future.

Kathleen Krager advised we have to have a balanced approach between bike facilities, parking, street capacity, etc. The Complete Streets ordinance basically is there to make sure we have a proper balance of all things considered on our streets.

Will try to provide some concentration on downtown mainly because the new Downtown Master Plan wants to implement some of that and there are poor biking facilities downtown, but does want to go back out to Briargate, southeast Colorado Springs, and west side and get better biking facilities so that all of the neighborhoods can bike.
• June Waller mentioned the push back from people and the increase in speed and she would be afraid of riding there when people continue to go beyond the speed limit set.
• There was discussion regarding the future of bike lane projects, and people’s opinions of bicyclists.

B. North Nevada Coordination
• Brian Vitulli updated the Board on the process of sidewalk and bus stop infrastructure improvements.
• Transit is coordinating with the Federal Transit Administration and the State’s Historic Preservation Board.
• Still going through the process, but coming close to determining the outcome.

VIII. NEXT MEETING SCHEDULE AND TOPICS
• The next meeting is scheduled for February 7, 2017.

IX. ADJOURNMENT
• Meeting was adjourned at 4:38 p.m.
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DATE: January 17, 2016

TO: City of Colorado Springs Citizens’ Transportation Advisory Board
     Pikes Peak Rural Transportation Authority Citizens’ Advisory Committee
     Pikes Peak Rural Transportation Authority Board
     City of Colorado Springs Transit Passenger Advisory Committee

FROM: Brian Vitulli, Transit Planning Supervisor

SUBJECT: Monthly Mountain Metropolitan Transit (MMT) Update

Ridership figures have not been FTA audited.

I. SERVICES

Local Routes
Mountain Metropolitan Transit (MMT) local routes provided 242,343 one-way trips during December of 2016. Service ran 30 out of the 31 days in December, as there was no bus service on Christmas Day (22 weekdays, 5 Saturdays, and 3 Sundays). Ridership in 2016 shows an increase of 1.42% as compared to the same month in 2015, which had the same number of total service days, but one less Saturday and one additional Sunday. Total ridership for December, 2015 was 238,941. The boarding-per-service-hour rate for December, 2016 is lower than it was in 2015 because service hours have increased significantly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December, 2015</th>
<th>December, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weekday Service – Ridership</td>
<td>217,511</td>
<td>214,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday Service – Ridership</td>
<td>14,926</td>
<td>21,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday Service – Ridership</td>
<td>6,504</td>
<td>6,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Service Hours</td>
<td>11,173</td>
<td>13,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boardings per Revenue Service Hour</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Fixed-Route Ridership by Month
ADA Service

MTT’s "Metro Mobility" (A.D.A.) service transported 13,314 passengers in December, 2016 which was a 1.71% increase over ridership from the same month in 2015. There were 30 service days (22 weekdays, 5 Saturdays, and 3 Sundays) for the month. It is MTT’s policy to limit ADA-required service due to its high per-trip cost but to do so in compliance with ADA and FTA regulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December, 2015</th>
<th>December, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weekday Service – Ridership</td>
<td>12,521</td>
<td>12,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday Service – Ridership</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday Service – Ridership</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Service Hours</td>
<td>6,046</td>
<td>5,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boardings per Revenue Service Hour</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vanpools

The Metro Rides Vanpool program had 23 vanpool vans operating during December and 149 total invoiced participants. There were 2,909 one-way trips reported, which was a 46.64% decrease compared to ridership in December, 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December, 2015</th>
<th>December, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weekdays – One-Way Trips</td>
<td>5,242</td>
<td>2,909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturdays – One-Way Trips</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundays – One-Way Trips</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Service Hours</td>
<td>1,640</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II. PROJECTS

Fall 2017 Service Changes:

The Fall 2017 Service Change proposal has been released to the public for review and feedback will be gathered at our upcoming public meetings. These proposed enhancements will continue our work toward improving the overall productivity and performance of the system, increasing frequency on high-ridership routes, and providing improved access to high employment corridors, in order to improve service for our existing customers and to attract new riders.

The Fall 2017 service improvements are grouped into three categories: 1) Service increases; 2) Scheduling modifications; and 3) Route modifications. While the public process for the Fall 2017 Service Changes is occurring in January 2017, the enhancements, if approved, will be implemented in phases. Highlights of the proposed Fall 2017 improvements and the timeline for implementation is shown below:

**Service Increases** - Increase weekday daytime frequency on Route 25 (N Academy Blvd-Voyager Pkwy) from 30 minutes to 15 minutes. New fixed-route vehicles are anticipated to arrive during the Summer of 2017, enabling us to add increased service during peak hours.

**Implementation** - Sunday, October 1, 2017

**Scheduling Modifications** - Timepoint adjustments will be made to Routes 23, 25, and 27. These modifications are designed to improve on-time performance and route reliability.

**Implementation** - Sunday, April 30, 2017

**Route Modification** - Modify Route 6 to operate on Fillmore Street between El Paso Street and Hancock Avenue instead of Fourth Street.

**Implementation** - Sunday, October 7, 2018 (at the earliest)

Three (3) public meetings are scheduled throughout our service area to gather feedback and to help determine our final recommendation. Meetings are scheduled at the following venues:

- **Tuesday, January 24th 2017 5pm to 6pm @ 21 C Library**
  1175 Chapel Hills Dr., Colorado Springs, CO 80920

- **Wednesday, January 25th 2017 9am to 10am & 5pm to 6pm @ COS City Hall**
  107 N. Nevada Ave., Colorado Springs, CO 80903 - Room: Council Chambers

The public comment period will remain open until Wednesday, February 15, 2017.

A summary of the public meeting attendance and comments will be provided at the meeting.
1. Call to Order

Chair Jim Godfrey established a quorum, read the objectives of the Committee, and called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

2. Approval of the Agenda

Ms. Joan Lucia-Trees made a motion to approve the agenda, seconded by Mr. Tom Rogers. The motion carried unanimously.

3. Selection of Officers

Ms. Joan Lucia-Trees made a motion to select Jim Godfrey as Chair, seconded by Mr. Tom Rogers. The motion carried unanimously. Mr. Tom Vierzb made a motion to select Mr. Reb Williams as 1st Vice Chair, seconded by Dr. Jim Null. The motion carried unanimously. Mr. Reb Williams made a motion to nominate Mr. Tom Vierzb as 2nd Vice Chair, seconded by Mr. Tom Rogers. Dr. Jim Null made a motion to nominate Ms. Joan Lucia-Trees as 2nd Vice Chair, seconded by Mr. Ed Dills. There was a secret ballot process and the majority of the committee voted to select Mr. Tom Vierzb as 2nd Vice Chair.

4. Public Comment Period for Items Not on the Agenda

There were none.

5. Approval of Minutes from the December 7, 2016, Regular Meeting

Mr. Tom Vierzb made a motion to approve the December 7, 2016 meeting minutes as presented, seconded by Mr. Ed Dills. The motion carried unanimously.

6. Financial Reports

A. Monthly Financial Reports

This was an information item.

B. Capital Renewal Project Revisions

There were several comments about making modifications to this item among committee members. Mr. Tony Gioia made a motion to postpone the item until the February meeting to review a revised draft of a proposed new Board Policy #26 to reflect the comments at this meeting, seconded by Mr. Ed Dills. The motion carried unanimously.

7. 2017 Capital, Maintenance, and Public Transportation Contracts

A. City of Colorado Springs and El Paso County

Mr. Mike Chaves requested a positive recommendation for the following contracts:

1) Land Title Guarantee Company as Escrow Agent for Mesa Housing Inc., Capital/PPRTA II, PPRTA Capital: $160,000

2) Land Title Guarantee Company as Escrow Agent for Kathy L. Irwin, Capital/PPRTA II, PPRTA Capital: $485,000.00

3) Matrix, Capital/PPRTA II, PPRTA Capital: $16,894.00
4) Kraemer, Capital/PPRTA II, PPRTA Capital: $100,090.00

Mr. Mike Chaves requested a positive recommendation for the following walk-on contract:

5) Anderson Mason Dale Architects, Capital PPRTA II, PPRTA Capital: $842,284.00

Mr. Reb Williams made a motion to bifurcate the contracts into the following groups: Contracts #1, #2, #4; Contract #3; Contract #5, Mr. Brian Wess seconded the motion. The motion carried. 
Mr. Brian Wess made a motion to recommend approval of contracts #1, #2, #4, seconded by Mr. Tony Gioia. The motion carried.

Mr. Brian Wess made a motion to recommend approval of contract #3, seconded by Mr. Tom Vierzba. The motion carried.

Mr. Tony Gioia made a motion to recommend approval of contract #5, seconded by Dr. Jim Null. The motion failed 5-7.

B. El Paso County

Ms. Jennifer Irvine requested a positive recommendation for the following contracts:

1) Colorado Springs Utilities, Capital (2015-2024), West Colorado Avenue: $1,520,607.90
2) Various Vendors, Maintenance, 2017 Graveling and Chip Seal Program: $230,000.00
3) Various Vendors, Maintenance, 2017 Asphalt Patching Program: $200,000.00
4) Westates, Inc., Maintenance, 2017 Crack Seal Program: $30,000.00

Ms. Joan Lucia-Treese made a motion to recommend approval of the contracts as presented, seconded by Mr. Reb Williams. The motion carried unanimously.

8. Member Governments and Other Reports

A. City of Colorado Springs Transit Service's Monthly Update

Mr. Brian Vitulli, Transit Planning Supervisor, provided the monthly update, including ridership statistics and agency updates.

B. City of Colorado Springs Monthly Change Order and Property Acquisition Report

This was an information item.

C. Maintenance of Efforts Reports

Mr. Rick Sonnenburg, Program/Contracts Manager, explained that the Maintenance of Efforts reports will be scheduled for the CAC meeting on February 1, 2017.

D. City of Colorado Springs: Board Policy #10 Transportation Capacity Reduction

Ms. Kathleen Krager reviewed Board Policy #10 and asked the Committee if they would like to continue with this policy the way it is worded. Chair Jim Godfrey recommended clearly outlining the intent of the policy via PPRTA staff checking the minutes of the October 2006 Board meeting recording and bringing that to the Board. Historical reference of this policy was reviewed. Mr. Reb Williams made a motion to postpone this item, seconded by Mr. Tony Gioia. The motion carried unanimously.

9. Administrative Actions and Reports

A. Report of Recent Board Actions

This was an information item.

B. Annual Report of CAC Activities.

Chair Jim Godfrey presented a summary of yearly CAC activities and asked for suggestions and comments on the report. Committee members offered edits. Mr. Brian Wess made a motion to recommend the report to the Board, seconded by Ms. Joan Lucia-Treese. The motion carried unanimously.

C. Staff Field Review Report

This was an information item.

10. Agenda Topics for Next Meeting

Capital Renewal Project Revisions and City of Colorado Springs: Board Policy #10 Transportation Capacity Reduction.

II. Communications
12. Adjournment
Chair Jim Godfrey adjourned the meeting at 3:51 p.m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Agency/Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mr. Scott Barnhart</td>
<td>Colorado Springs CTAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mr. Tony Gioia</td>
<td>Colorado Springs CTAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mr. Steven Murray</td>
<td>Colorado Springs CTAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Ms. Joan Lucia-Treese</td>
<td>El Paso County (HAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Ed Dills</td>
<td>El Paso County (HAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td><strong>Mr. Richard Williams, 1st Vice Chair</strong></td>
<td>El Paso County (HAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Jonathan Dooley</td>
<td>Town of Green Mountain Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. Amy Filipiak</td>
<td>City of Manitou Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. Cindy Tompkins</td>
<td>City of Manitou Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mr. Brian Wess</td>
<td>Citizen-At-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Dr. Jim Null (left at 3:18 PM)</td>
<td>Citizen-At-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td><strong>Mr. Jim Godfrey, Chair</strong></td>
<td>Citizen-At-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mr. Thomas Vierzba</td>
<td>Citizen-At-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mr. Gene Bray</td>
<td>Citizen-At-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mr. Jake Michel</td>
<td>Citizen-At-Large-Alternate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mr. Tom Rogers</td>
<td>Citizen-At-Large-Alternate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mr. David Chesnutt</td>
<td>Citizen-At-Large-Alternate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mr. Rick Hoover</td>
<td>Citizen-At-Large-Alternate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mr. Rick Sonnenburg</td>
<td>PPRTA Program/Contracts Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. Beverly Majewski</td>
<td>PPRTA Financial Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Staff of Member Governments and Citizens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ms. Kathleen Krager, Transportation Manager, gave the Committee an update on the Research Parkway project and its demise. She discussed the technical reasoning behind the decision (the City did not get the anticipated traffic management outcomes that were expected) and then fielded questions from the Committee. Members were disappointed in the process, as was a citizen, who commented on behalf of Bike Colorado Springs. Three citizens from the Briargate area commented in favor of the decision, and one citizen from the Briargate area said he saw both sides, but didn’t like the effect the entire process has had on his neighborhood.

Ms. Brady gave the Committee an update on current plans for bicycle infrastructure projects in the Downtown, to take place this spring and fall in support of the Experience Downtown Master Plan update. Two meetings have been planned: A Downtown Lowdown on February 15 and a public information session on February 22.

Mr. Jim Ramsey, ATAC Chair, led a discussion on opening up conversation with CTAB regarding what how ATAC can best serve CTAB. He provided copies of the Resolution that created ATAC as an advisory committee to CTAB. He suggested waiting to hold a joint CTAB/ATAC meeting in April, to give Horst Richardson, the new CTAB liaison, some time to understand both boards.

Mr. Ramsey also led a discussion about the current openings on the Committee and how the Committee might fill its ranks to ensure quorum. This followed a wide-ranging conversation about ATAC, the positions within the committee and its role with the City.

Ms. Brady updated the Committee on the Bike Master Plan process. Staff and the consulting project team are finalizing the draft vision statement and goals, and an existing conditions report. There was discussion about the contents of the visioning statement. Ms. Brady also shared the working timeline now for completion of the plan and upcoming meetings.
NEW
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Greenways from Experience DT COS
Streets being reconfigured for alignment with Experience DT COS
Connections across Legacy Loop
Connections to Lowell Neighborhood
Possible Changes

- Median Parking
- Changes to curbside parking
- Lane reconfiguring
- Protected Cycle-track on the back of curb
- Pedestrian improvements