



**ADDENDUM #3
RFP NUMBER – R20-T161AM
December 10, 2020**

NAME OF PROJECT: AIRPORT ROAD OVER SPRING CREEK BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: REMAINS: DECEMBER 23, 2020 - NO LATER THAN 2:00 PM

This document shall become as fully a part of the above named RFP and Contract Documents as if included and shall take full and complete precedence over anything stated or shown to the contrary in them.

Acknowledgment: Each Offeror shall indicate in the place provided acknowledgment of receipt of this Addendum.

Each and every Offeror, subcontractor, and material supplier shall be responsible for reading each and every item in this Addendum to ascertain the extent and manner it affects the work in which he is interested.

*****CHANGES TO THE PUBLICATION NOTICE*****

The following items and information are corrections and additions to the above referenced project.

- 1. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS FOLLOW THIS PAGE**
- 2. GEOTECH REPORTS UPLOADED TO BIDNET**
- 3. EXHIBIT 8: SAMPLE BONDS UPLOADED TO BIDNET**

Offeror shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum by signing below, and this addendum must be returned as part of the proposal.

Signature

Date

Firm

R20-T161AM Airport Road Over Spring Creek Bridge Replacement Questions and Answers

- 1- Could the expectation of coordination with the proposed sanitary work by others be elaborated on? Specifically:
 - a. What is the physical footprint needed by the sanitary contractor? (ie. Is the expectation that we forfeit our access to the east side of CBC to allow unrestricted access for sanitary contractor for the duration of their work?) – Response: The location of the proposed sanitary sewer is shown on Sheets 10 and 11 of the plan set. The City's expectation is that the bridge work and the sanitary sewer work can be coordinated to avoid schedule impacts to either project. If the proposer believes that the work will be in conflict with the box culvert work then they should reflect that in their schedule.
 - b. What will be the duration expected for sanitary work to be completed? Response: The City contractor's schedule for the sanitary sewer shows that it will take approximately 6 weeks to complete the sanitary sewer work in Airport Road
 - c. What are the sanitary contractors working hours? Response: The working hours are 7 am to 7 pm, unless approval for a change is granted by the City.
 - d. Mention was made in pre-bid meeting regarding flexibility with start date of 120 day closure allowance for Airport Rd. Question is will sanitary contractors progress be dependent on our closure happening by a specified date, or will their work proceed per their schedule under a different closure provided by them? Response: The City is assuming that the closure of Airport Road by the two contractors are separate events. It is assumed that the closure of Airport Road by the sanitary sewer contractor will occur in spring of 2021 and the closure by the bridge contractor will be in fall of 2021.
- 2- Are we to anticipate hauling any spoils or surplus from either the gas or sanitary subcontractor work? Response: No
- 3- Special Provisions mention Asbestos Containing Material abatement, is there an anticipated quantity? Or is this cost incidental and to be included with another item? Response: Any Asbestos Containing Material Abatement will be paid under a Force Account and will be negotiated with the selected contractor, if necessary. It is possible that the coating of the steel gas line in Airport Road may contain asbestos. Since the gas line relocation is already underway, the presence or absence of asbestos should be confirmed before this contract is executed. Who removes the existing gas line under the concrete box culvert will be confirmed with CSU.
- 4- What will be the anticipated elevation of grade received in parking lot from work by others? Response: The parking lot asphalt will only be removed where the gas line relocation is being done. It should be assumed that the asphalt is patched back over the trench to the original elevations when this project starts construction.
- 5- Has Colorado Springs Fire Department outlined an expectation for formal communications regarding project aside from the weekly project meeting with City? Response: Communications with the Fire Department will be done by the City Project Manager. The contractor should plan to provide advanced notice of changes that affect the fire department to the City Project Manager.
- 6- Is existing ped bridge that is shown to be used for detour lit at night and adequate for ADA compliance without modification? Response: the existing pedestrian bridge is not in use by the public and has no existing lighting. There are lights in the adjacent parking lots only.
- 7- Please confirm the DBE goals for project. Response: This is a PPRTA funded project so there are no specific DBE goals for the project. The City does encourage the use of DBE firms but there is not a minimum specified percentage of the total contract.
- 8- Is a bid Bond required for this Proposal, if so how much and do you have a specific form. Response: Bid Bonds are not required on RFPs. However, per Section 1.21: The Offeror is advised that the successful Offeror shall be required to furnish to the City of Colorado Springs, upon award, one copy of each: Performance Bond, Labor and Materials Payment Bond, and a Maintenance Bond in the amount of 100% of the total contract within ten (10) calendar days after notification of award of a contract. The cost of all bonds shall be included in Offeror's offer.

- 9- Are As-Builts of the existing Structure available **Response: The existing structure was built by CDOT since this was originally a State Highway. We have not been able to obtain as-built plans for the structure.**
- 10- Is there a warranty period for this work **Response: The contract requires the standard guarantee period (two-year warranty period).**
- 11- This is a unit price contract, please confirm **Response: Correct – this is a fixed unit price contract.**
- 12- Can we get a copy of the Geotech report **Response: The geotechnical reports will be provided with this addendum.**
- 13- During ground water dewatering operations will all ground water that is pumped from the site need to be stored in containers and tested prior to release. Per Project Specifications - 625 DEWATERING section F **Response: Several discussions have been held with the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) about groundwater. Until an application is made for a groundwater discharge permit at a specific location, such as this project, they will not provide an answer to this question. The contractor is expected to apply to CDPHE and include the City in any discussions clarifying treatment requirements (if any). Once treatment requirements are known, the City and the selected contractor will negotiate pricing for any treatment required, to be paid under the Force Account created for that purpose.**
- 14- Will a 22'x9' 4-sided precast box culvert be acceptable in lieu of the 3-sided precast culvert (Item 82)? **Response: That would be significant change to the design of the project. It could be submitted as a "Value Engineering Proposal" by the selected contractor but the precast culvert pricing should be submitted as designed.**

A four sided box would be considered less desirable due to the numerous joints that would be exposed to frequent flow and the potential for differential settlement between precast segments.

- 15- Is black reinforcing steel, epoxy reinforcing steel, or galvanized reinforcing steel required for the precast culvert? **Response: Please review Technical Specification 712 Precast Concrete 3 Sided Box Culvert.**
- 16- Will a load rating be required for the precast culvert? **Response: The precast manufacturer should provide a load rating along with their design.**
- 17- Will straight end segments for the precast culvert be acceptable in lieu the skewed/angled end segments as shown in the plans? **Response: That would be significant change to the design of the project. It could be submitted as a "Value Engineering Proposal" by the selected contractor but the precast culvert pricing should be submitted as designed.**

Question #1:

In 3.1 Evaluation Criteria, the information is set forth as:

3.1.1 TECHNICAL AREA – UNDERSTANDING OF AND COMPLIANCE WITH TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

See Section II – Item 2.6.1A

3.1.2 TECHNICAL AREA – PROJECT APPROACH

See Section II - Item 2.6.1B

3.1.3 MANAGEMENT AREA – PROGRAM MANAGEMENT CONTROLS

See Section II – Item 2.6.2A

3.1.4 MANAGEMENT AREA – PAST PERFORMANCE/RELEVANT EXPERIENCE/KEY PERSONNEL

See Section II – Item 2.6.2B

3.1.5 PRICE/COST AREA – PRICE/COST

See Section II – Item 2.7

3.1.6 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION AREA – PROPOSAL PRESENTATION

See Section II – Item 2.8

3.1.7 EXCEPTIONS AND INSURANCE

See Section II – Items 2.9 and 2.10

However, 2.5 “Minimum Qualifications” does not match up with 3.1 Evaluation Criteria (ie 2.5 Minimum Qualifications is not listed in 3.1 Evaluation Criteria). Will you please confirm that 2.5 is included in the evaluation criteria? Response: The minimum qualifications need to be met in order for the proposal to be reviewed for scoring. If the minimum qualifications are not met, the proposal will be considered to be unresponsive in meeting the minimum requirements of this solicitation and will be rejected. If the minimum qualifications are met, then the scoring will be done per Section 3 and Section 2.5 is not part of the proposal scores.

Question #2:

In 3.2.A. Ranking, the order of ranking or importance ranks Item 2.5 as “Third: Technical Area Section II.” In 3.2 Ranking, D. Area Scoring, the “Technical Area” is worth 25 points. However, in 2.5 Minimum Qualifications, it is stated that the minimum qualifications will be graded on a pass/fail basis. To confirm, is 2.5 Minimum Qualifications assessed with the Technical Area and does it contribute to the 25 points for the Technical Area section? Response: Please see answer to the previous question.

Question #3:

Exhibit 7 does not include Section II Proposal Content’s item 2.5 Minimum Qualifications in which the proposer is required to list structural projects, surface water projects and channel construction projects. Is 2.5 Minimum Qualifications included in the proposal evaluation? Response: Please see answer to the previous question.

Question #4:

Exhibit 7 lists 3. Expertise and Qualifications, C. Key Personnel, however Section II – Proposal Content, 2.6.2 Management Area does not include an item C. Will you please confirm what information is required for Key Personnel? Please note there is a narrative in Section II Proposal Content, 2.6.2 Management Area, referencing key personnel, however the information required in this section does not match up with Exhibit 7’s requirements for key personnel information. Response: The header “Key Personnel in Section 2.6.2 was intended to be Item C in the exhibit. The section designation was inadvertently omitted in the RFP.

Question #5:

The Cover Letter is required to be 2 pages. Do these pages count toward the proposal’s maximum page limit of 25-pages? Response: No, the cover letter is not included in the 25 page limit for the proposal.

Question #6:

Does a proposal cover and section dividers/tabs count towards the proposal’s maximum page limit of 25-pages? Response: The cover and section dividers/tabs are not included in the 25 page limit for the

proposal. Please do not include proposal content on dividers/tabs, as they will not be included in the evaluation.

Question #7:

In 2.6.1, there are two references to goals: “1. Does the proposal demonstrate a firm understanding of the requirements and **goals** of the Statement of Work, as well as industry standards and reasonable expectations for a company in the industry?” and “3. Does the proposal provide solutions to indicate that requirements and **goals** will be met on schedule?” Is a list of project goals available? **Response:** Please visit the project Website for additional information on the purpose of the project. The goals are to safely build a quality project on time, within the budget, and with the least amount of disruption to the public.

Question #8

In 2.7 Price Area, there are a maximum of 40 points available. However, in 3.2 Ranking, D. Area Scoring, Price Area lists a maximum of 35 points. Can you please confirm how many points are available for the Price Area? **Response:** The price area should be a maximum of 35 points as listed in Section 3. Below is the corrected table from the RFP and it replaces what was in the published version of the RFP.

The price will be evaluated as follows:

1. The low cost proposal will receive the total amount of points available (35) in this area.
2. The remaining proposers will receive points as their cost proposal relates to the low cost proposal as displayed in the following table:

<u>Cost Proposal - Low Cost Proposal</u>	Points
Low Cost Proposal	35
< 3%	30
From 3% to less than 5%	25
From 5% to 10%	20
> 10%	15