

City of Colorado Springs

ADDENDUM #1 R24-T049JD March 21, 2024

NAME OF PROJECT: "DESIGN SERVICES LAKE AVENUE-SH115 & SOUTHGATE INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS"

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: REMAINS: MONDAY, APRIL 8, 2024 - NO LATER THAN 2:00 PM

This document shall become as fully a part of the above-named solicitation and Contract Documents as if included and shall take full and complete precedence over anything stated or shown to the contrary in them.

Acknowledgment: Each Offeror shall indicate in the place provided acknowledgment of receipt of this Addendum.

Each and every Offeror, subcontractor, and material supplier shall be responsible for reading each and every item in this Addendum to ascertain the extent and manner it affects the work in which he is interested.

CHANGES TO THE PUBLICATION NOTICE

The following items and information are corrections and additions to the above referenced project.

1. Q&A		
Offeror shall acknowledge red be returned as part of the prop	eipt of this addendum by signing below, and this addendunosal.	n mus
Signature	 Date	
Firm		

C: R24-T049JD

Questions for R24-T049JD Engineering Design Services Lake Avenue – SH 115 & Southgate Intersection Improvements

- 1. Can you clarify if a geotechnical study has been completed? If not, will the City procure geotechnical through its on-call or will the design team be responsible for providing it?
 - **RESPONSE:** To clarify, no geotechnical study has been completed for this project. It is the intent of this RFP to solicit geotechnical engineering services as part of the consultant team.
- 2. Can you please clarify the following directive from the RFP specifically the 10 page limit vs. the 15 page limit: The Offeror's written proposal should include the information in the format outlined below and must be limited to ten (10) pages, no more than fifteen (15) pages
 - **RESPONSE:** The City desires to be respectful of the consultants' time and effort in preparing their proposals. Because the limits of the project are relatively discrete and the intent of the design is reasonably straightforward, Offeror's shall limit their proposals to 10 pages.
- 3. In section 2.1 of the RFP the max page says "must be limited to ten (10) pages, no more than fifteen (15) pages" Can the City please confirm maximum page count allowed for this proposal?

 RESPONSE: See response to question no. 2.
- 4. Does this project have DBE requirements?
 - **RESPONSE:** There is no DBE requirement for the design phase of the project.
- Will CDOT or City pavement design criteria be required for work on the SB CO115 on/off ramps?
 RESPONSE: For the purposes of this RFP, proposers can assume the City's criteria will be used for pavement design on the SB CO115 ramps.
- 6. Can City provide the Exhibit 1 documents mentioned in RFP Section 5.4.B (Conceptual Design/Alternative Geometric Layouts) to the proposers?
 - **RESPONSE:** The reference to 'Exhibit 1' is an oversight in the RFP. The list of known documents is included within the Exhibit 5 Statement of Work. The list follows immediately after Section 5.6 Deliverables.
- 7. Can City provide the existing geotech reports mentioned in section 5.4.F (Geotechnical Analysis and Report) of the RFP to the proposers?
 - **RESPONSE:** The City does not have a unique collection of reports assembled to disseminate as part of this RFP. Rather, the statement included in Section 5.4.F calls back to the first sentence of Section 5.4.B. As it relates to geotechnical data, the consultant may find relevant information from area Master Plans or development related reports.