



City of Colorado Springs

**ADDENDUM #1
R24-005CA
January 29, 2024**

NAME OF PROJECT: "Pipelining for Farnsworth Dr"

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: REMAINS: Monday, February 12, 2024 - NO LATER THAN 3:00 PM

This document shall become as fully a part of the above named solicitation and Contract Documents as if included and shall take full and complete precedence over anything stated or shown to the contrary in them.

Acknowledgment: Each Offeror shall indicate in the place provided acknowledgment of receipt of this Addendum.

Each and every Offeror, subcontractor, and material supplier shall be responsible for reading each and every item in this Addendum to ascertain the extent and manner it affects the work in which he is interested.

*****CHANGES TO THE PUBLICATION NOTICE*****

The following items and information are corrections and additions to the above referenced project.

- 1. Questions & Answers Published**
- 2. Revised Schedule A, Bid Form**

Offeror shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum by signing below, and this addendum must be returned as part of the proposal.

Signature

Date

Firm



Questions & Answers

1) Would the Owner/Engineer please provide the CCTV video for all pipes?

A short video (see Bidnet Direct www.bidnetdirect.com) of the pipe and pictures are included in Addendum 1.





- 2) As mentioned on the Pre-Bid call, there was an indication of invert repair being required. Would the Owner/Engineer please quantify how much invert repair would be required (length, width and depth of missing invert) and provide a pay item for Invert Repair by the Cubic Foot?
 - Invert repair will be 725' L x 1' w x 6" Deep. A line item has been added to Schedule A, Bid Form.
- 3) Since the plans and docs do not specify specific concrete and asphalt areas to be repaired, are we safe to assume the asphalt and concrete items are intended for the contractor to utilize for their own restoration in areas that maybe disturbed during construction?
 - Correct. Any asphalt and concrete placed will follow City Specifications.
- 4) Currently the spec calls for corrugation fill and a minimum of 1", however typically pipe diameters greater than 54" receive 1.5" plus corrugation fill, in an effort for contractors to bid apples to apples, would the owner/engineer revise minimum required thickness to 1.5" plus corrugation fill?
 - We will follow the minimum spec that is called out.
- 5) Based on a site visit we were unable to access the outfall due to a locked gate and there are no immediate structures upstream of the outfall to access the pipe run. Therefore, the anticipated quantity of silt to be removed is unknown, can the owner/engineer please provide



and anticipated total CY of debris or estimated average depth of debris to be removed from the pipe, so all contractors are bidding the same scope?

- Assume debris will be less than ½ a CY.
- 6) Will "Storm Seal" produced by "The Strong Company, Inc" out of Pine Bluff, AR be allowed as an approved equal lining material on this project?
- No, Specified material was already approved by FEMA for mitigation so that is what will be used.
- 7) Does the City have any videos of this line?
- A short video (see Bidnet Direct www.bidnetdirect.com) of the pipe and some pictures are included in Addendum 1.
- 8) What is the completion date for this project?
- RFP Period of Performance, Section 1.12 states Notice to Proceed to April 1, 2024 but can be extended if there are weather days or other issues.
- 9) Will the City be willing to allow alternate rehabilitation methods such as CIPP for this line?
- No, Specified material was already approved by FEMA for mitigation so that is what will be used.
- 10) What water table depth below the surface (ground to bottom of pipe) should we use for the design calculations?
- Assumption is the ground water table is significantly below the bottom of the pipe.